

Member Communication Experience

Construction Has An Attention Problem, Not An Innovation Problem

Written by: Chris Callen, Founder and CEO, PLOT

Construction doesn't suffer from a lack of ideas.

Spend any time at an industry event, read the trade press, or scroll LinkedIn, and you'll see no shortage of innovation: artificial intelligence, robotics, autonomous equipment, digital twins, and increasingly sophisticated software promising to transform how projects are delivered.

And yet, on most jobsites across the country, the same problems have persisted for decades. Crews still wait on answers. Work still gets redone because something changed and not everyone knew. Deliveries still arrive when nobody's ready, or everyone is ready and deliveries don't arrive. Schedules still look clean on paper while reality unfolds very differently.

Too often, decisions labeled as "process improvement," whether technology-focused or not, are made far from the jobsite itself.

WHERE PROGRESS ACTUALLY BREAKS DOWN

A jobsite is defined by constant prioritization. Superintendents, project engineers, and project managers spend their days deciding what has to happen now, what can wait, what broke, and who needs an answer next. When those decisions are shaped far from the work, the day unravels quickly.

Innovation struggles in construction not because tools don't exist, but because decision-making happens far from the work that has to live with the result. Conversations about "what's



next" are often disconnected from the point of execution, creating a widening gap between what the industry discusses and what crews are asked to make work every day.

WHAT BREAKDOWN LOOKS LIKE IN PRACTICE

Most jobsite friction isn't futuristic. It's ordinary.

It's plans colliding with incomplete information.

It's sequencing issues surfacing too late to adjust cleanly.

It's space, timing, and logistics working against each other instead of together.

None of this is exotic. None of it requires breakthrough innovation to describe. But it does require consistent

ownership close to the work. When that ownership is missing or distracted by decisions made elsewhere, the same issues repeat project after project.

This is why many promising tools stall after early enthusiasm. They're introduced into environments where priorities are already stretched thin, feedback loops are slow, and success is measured by rollout rather than day-to-day impact.

WHY INNOVATION CONVERSATIONS MISS THE MARK

Construction lacks alignment between decision-making and day-to-day reality.

Much of the industry's innovation conversation is optimized for visibility – what sounds compelling, what demos well, what fits neatly into a presentation. Those conversations have value, but they often stop short of the harder question: Does this actually make a day onsite easier to manage?

When progress is evaluated by interest or novelty, it's easy to lose sight of whether anything improves the work itself. Over time, this erodes trust. Jobsite teams become skeptical, not because they resist change, but because too many tools promise improvement while leaving the same friction in place.

This is how “one more system” fatigue sets in. Not from apathy, but from repeated experiences where effort outweighs payoff.

THE REAL COST OF MISALIGNMENT

The cost of this imbalance isn't abstract. It shows up as lost productivity, burnout, and disengagement. It shows up when capable people spend their days reacting instead of building. It shows up when coordination failures become normal and workarounds replace improvement.

Most importantly, it shows up in results. Schedules slip. Budgets tighten. Trust between teams frays.

These aren't failures of innovation. They're failures of focus and ownership.

A DIFFERENT LENS FOR PROGRESS

If construction wants innovation to stick, the industry needs to change how it directs decision-making on behalf of the jobsite.

That doesn't mean slowing down or rejecting new ideas. It

means anchoring them closer to the work. It means faster feedback, clearer responsibility, and judging tools by whether they reduce friction today, not by how impressive they sound tomorrow. Only project teams can truly answer that question.

Progress happens when decisions are shaped near the work they affect, and when tools are evaluated by how reliably they hold up under real conditions, not by how broadly they're discussed.

This shift doesn't require new technology. It requires a different filter.

A QUIET CHALLENGE

Before asking what's next, it's worth asking a simpler question: Who is shaping decisions on behalf of the jobsite today?

If innovation doesn't change how work unfolds onsite, it's just noise – no matter how advanced it looks. The industry doesn't need louder ideas. It needs better alignment between decision-making and the realities of building complex things with imperfect information.

Progress in construction will come from making better decisions closer to the work. And that's a problem the industry can solve, if it chooses to look in the right place. 



About the Author

Chris Callen grew up in a construction family and spent most of his early years convinced he would do anything other than construction. He went into technology instead, but he found that the real opportunity was at the intersection of construction and technology. In 2021, he partnered with High Alpha Innovation to focus fully on solving the jobsite operational headaches that plague general contractors. The result is [PLOT](#), a practical operations tool that unifies procurement scheduling and delivery coordination without the bloat, jargon, or empty promises the industry is tired of hearing.

About the Article

This article is republished from [Construction Business Owner](#). Construction Business Owner (CBO) is the leading business magazine for contractors and is designed to help owners of construction firms run successful businesses. Founded in 2004, CBO provides real-world business management education and knowledge that is of real value to the owners of construction companies.

Any views and opinions expressed in this article may or may not reflect the views and opinions of the Construction Management Association of America (CMAA). By publishing this piece, CMAA is not expressing endorsement of the individual, the article, or their association, organization, or company.